In re Applicant:	§	
Christopher H. Genly	§	Art Unit: 2654
	§	
Serial No.: 09/494,714	§	
	§	Examiner: Richemond Dorvil
Filed: January 31, 2000	§	
	§	
For: Providing Programming Information	§	Atty Docket: ITL.0341US
in Response to Spoken Requests	§	P8391
	§	

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

NOV 1 5 2002

Technology Center 2600

REPLY TO PAPER NO. 2

Sir:

In response to the office action mailed September 11, 2002, please amend the above-referenced patent application as follows:

IN THE DRAWINGS

Proposed changes to the drawings are marked on the copies of the drawings in red.

IN THE CLAIMS

Please amend claim 9 as follows:

9 (Amended). The system of claim 2 wherein said module parses and stores time attributes in a request.

REMARKS

Abbreviations used in the drawings have been spelled out as requested by the Examiner and are indicated in red on the attached copies of the drawings. The office action also suggests that items 194, 196, 134, and 200 are blocks. In fact, these are not blocks, these are actual